

Tonsillitis

Search date March 2009

Christos C Georgalas, Neil S Tolley, and Antony Narula

ABSTRACT

INTRODUCTION: The definition of severe recurrent throat infections is arbitrary, but recent criteria have defined severe tonsillitis as: five or more episodes of true tonsillitis a year; symptoms for at least 1 year; and episodes that are disabling and prevent normal functioning. Diagnosis of acute tonsillitis is clinical, and it can be difficult to distinguish viral from bacterial infections. Rapid antigen testing has a very low sensitivity in the diagnosis of bacterial tonsillitis, but more accurate tests take longer to deliver results. Bacteria are cultured from few people with tonsillitis. Other causes include infectious mononucleosis from Epstein–Barr virus infection, cytomegalovirus, toxoplasmosis, HIV, hepatitis A, and rubella. **METHODS AND OUTCOMES:** We conducted a systematic review and aimed to answer the following clinical question: What are the effects of tonsillectomy in children and adults with acute recurrent or chronic throat infections? We searched: Medline, Embase, The Cochrane Library, and other important databases up to March 2009 (Clinical Evidence reviews are updated periodically; please check our website for the most up-to-date version of this review). We included harms alerts from relevant organisations such as the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and the UK Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency (MHRA). **RESULTS:** We found 10 systematic reviews, RCTs, or observational studies that met our inclusion criteria. We performed a GRADE evaluation of the quality of evidence for interventions. **CONCLUSIONS:** In this systematic review we present information relating to the effectiveness and safety of the following interventions: cold-steel tonsillectomy and diathermy tonsillectomy.

QUESTIONS	
What are the effects of tonsillectomy in children and adults with acute recurrent or chronic throat infections? . . .	3

INTERVENTIONS	
TONSILLECTOMY  Beneficial Cold-steel tonsillectomy (better peri- and postoperative outcomes than diathermy tonsillectomy in adults and children) 3	Tonsillectomy (reduces tonsillitis in children compared with no surgery) 5 To be covered in future updates Intermittent antibiotics Long-term antibiotics Prophylactic antibiotics v episodic antibiotics
 Trade off between benefits and harms Tonsillectomy (may reduce sore throat frequency in adults compared with no surgery but associated with morbidity) 8	

Key points

- Diagnosis of acute tonsillitis is clinical, and it can be difficult to distinguish viral from bacterial infections.
 - Rapid antigen testing has a very low sensitivity in the diagnosis of bacterial tonsillitis, but more accurate tests take longer to deliver results.
 - Bacteria are cultured from few people with tonsillitis. Other causes include infectious mononucleosis from Epstein–Barr virus infection, cytomegalovirus, toxoplasmosis, HIV, hepatitis A, and rubella.
- Acute tonsillitis with group A beta-haemolytic streptococci can occasionally cause rheumatic fever and acute glomerulonephritis, which can be prevented by treatment with penicillin.
 - In resource-rich countries, these complications are so rare that routine aggressive antibiotic use cannot be justified.
- Tonsillectomy, with or without adenoidectomy, is one of the most frequently performed surgical procedures in the UK.
- We don't know whether **tonsillectomy** is beneficial in adults with recurrent acute tonsillitis, as no studies were found.
- In children, the effectiveness of **tonsillectomy** has to be judged against the potential harms. Tonsillectomy is more beneficial in children with severe symptoms, while in populations with a low incidence of tonsillitis, the modest benefit may be outweighed by the morbidity associated with the surgery.
- The use of **diathermy** in tonsillectomy in adults or children is associated with reduced rates of primary bleeding but increased rates of secondary and overall bleeding.
 - Overall, cold-steel dissection tonsillectomy seems to have the lowest rates of postoperative haemorrhage and pain, although it is associated with slightly increased intraoperative bleeding. The use of diathermy in tonsillectomy must be weighed against its potential harms.
 - Adequate training in the appropriate use of diathermy during tonsillectomy is important. In deciding which method to apply, the surgeon should consider the underlying characteristics of patients, as well as the relative importance of secondary compared with primary bleeding, and intraoperative blood loss compared with postoperative pain.

DEFINITION	The definition of severe recurrent throat infections is arbitrary, but recent criteria have defined severe tonsillitis as: five or more episodes of true tonsillitis a year; symptoms for at least 1 year; and episodes that are disabling and prevent normal functioning. ^[1] However, in most cases, the severity of recurrent throat infections depends on many factors, and cannot be judged solely on the basis of its incidence. This definition does not include tonsillitis caused by infectious mononucleosis, which usually occurs as a single episode. However, acute tonsillitis in this situation may be followed by recurrent tonsillitis in some people. Tonsillitis may occur in isolation or as part of a generalised pharyngitis. The clinical distinction between tonsillitis and pharyngitis is unclear in the literature, and the condition is often referred to simply as "acute sore throat". A sore throat lasting for 24 to 48 hours as part of the prodrome of minor upper respiratory tract infection is excluded from this definition. Diagnosis of acute tonsillitis is primarily clinical, with the main interest being in whether the illness is viral or bacterial — this being of relevance if antibiotics are being considered. Studies have attempted to distinguish viral from bacterial sore throat on clinical grounds, but the results are conflicting, suggesting a lack of reliable diagnostic criteria. Investigations to assist with this distinction include throat swabs and serological tests, including the rapid antigen test and the antistreptolysin O titre. Rapid antigen testing is convenient and popular in North America, but has doubtful sensitivity (61%–95%), at least when measured against throat swab results, although specificity is higher (88%–100%). ^[1] However, the inevitable delay in reporting of both swabs and the antistreptolysin O titre reduce their value in the routine clinical situation.
INCIDENCE/ PREVALENCE	Recurrent sore throat has an incidence in general practice in the UK of 100 per 1000 population a year. ^[2] Acute tonsillitis is more common in childhood.
AETIOLOGY/ RISK FACTORS	Common bacterial pathogens include beta-haemolytic and other streptococci. Bacteria are cultured from only a minority of people with tonsillitis. The role of viruses is uncertain. In tonsillitis associated with infectious mononucleosis, the most common infective agent is the Epstein–Barr virus (present in 50% of children and 90% of adults with the condition). Cytomegalovirus infection may also result in the clinical picture of infectious mononucleosis, and the differential diagnosis also includes toxoplasmosis, HIV, hepatitis A, and rubella. ^[3]
PROGNOSIS	We found no good data on the natural history of tonsillitis or recurrent sore throat in children or adults. People in RCTs randomised to medical treatment (courses of antibiotics as required) have shown a tendency towards improvement over time. ^{[4] [5] [6] [7] [8]} Recurrent severe tonsillitis results in considerable morbidity, ^[9] including time lost from school or work. The most common complication of acute tonsillitis is peritonsillar abscess, but we found no good evidence on its incidence. Rheumatic fever and acute glomerulonephritis are recognised complications of acute tonsillitis associated with group A beta-haemolytic streptococci. These diseases are rare in resource-rich countries, but do occasionally occur. They are still a common problem in certain populations, notably Australian aboriginal people, and may be effectively prevented in closed communities by the use of penicillin. A systematic review found that antibiotics reduced the incidence of these diseases. ^[10] However, in resource-rich countries, these diseases are so rare that routine aggressive antibiotic use is not justified. The review also found that antibiotics shorten the duration of illness by about 16 hours overall. ^[10]
AIMS OF INTERVENTION	To abolish tonsillitis; to reduce the frequency and severity of recurrent throat infections; to improve general wellbeing, behaviour, and educational achievement, with minimal adverse effects.
OUTCOMES	<i>For all options, we report: episodes of tonsillitis or sore throat</i> (includes analgesia for tonsillitis or sore throat and antibiotic use); and <i>time off school or work</i> . <i>For options comparing surgical techniques versus each other, we also report: surgery: bleeding</i> (intraoperative and postoperative), and <i>surgery: postoperative pain</i> (includes analgesia use for pain from surgery).
METHODS	<i>Clinical Evidence</i> search and appraisal March 2009. The following databases were used to identify studies for this systematic review: Medline 1966 to March 2009, Embase 1980 to March 2009, and The Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews and Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Clinical Trials 2009, Issue 1 (1966 to date of issue). An additional search was carried out of the NHS Centre for Reviews and Dissemination (CRD) — for Health Technology Assessment (HTA). We also searched for retractions of studies included in the review. Abstracts of the studies retrieved from the initial search were assessed by an information specialist. Selected studies were then sent to the contributor for additional assessment, using predetermined criteria to identify relevant studies. Study design criteria for inclusion in this review were: published systematic reviews of RCTs and RCTs in any language, at least single-blinded, and containing more than 20 individuals of whom more than 80% were followed up. There was no minimum length of follow-up required to include studies. We excluded all studies described as "open", "open label", or not blinded unless blinding was impossible. We included systematic reviews of RCTs and RCTs where harms of an included

intervention were studied, applying the same study design criteria for inclusion as we did for benefits. In addition, we use a regular surveillance protocol to capture harms alerts from organisations such as the FDA and the MHRA, which are added to the reviews as required. For assessment of harms of different forms of tonsillectomy, we used data from systematic reviews that included prospective non-randomised trials and patient registers. To aid readability of the numerical data in our reviews, we round many percentages to the nearest whole number. Readers should be aware of this when relating percentages to summary statistics such as relative risks (RRs) and odds ratios (ORs). We have performed a GRADE evaluation of the quality of evidence for interventions included in this review (see table, p 12). The categorisation of the quality of the evidence (high, moderate, low, or very low) reflects the quality of evidence available for our chosen outcomes in our defined populations of interest. These categorisations are not necessarily a reflection of the overall methodological quality of any individual study, because the Clinical Evidence population and outcome of choice may represent only a small subset of the total outcomes reported, and population included, in any individual trial. For further details of how we perform the GRADE evaluation and the scoring system we use, please see our website (www.clinicalevidence.com).

QUESTION What are the effects of tonsillectomy in children and adults with acute recurrent or chronic throat infections?

OPTION COLD-STEEL TONSILLECTOMY VERSUS DIATHERMY TONSILLECTOMY

- For GRADE evaluation of interventions for Tonsillitis, see table, p 12 .
- The use of diathermy in tonsillectomy in adults or children is associated with reduced rates of primary bleeding but increased rates of secondary and overall bleeding.
- Overall, cold-steel dissection tonsillectomy seems to have the lowest rates of postoperative haemorrhage and pain, although it is associated with slightly increased intraoperative bleeding.
- Adequate training in the appropriate use of diathermy during tonsillectomy is important. In deciding which method to apply, the surgeon should consider the underlying characteristics of patients, as well as the relative importance of secondary compared with primary bleeding, and intraoperative blood loss compared with postoperative pain.

Benefits and harms

Cold-steel tonsillectomy versus diathermy tonsillectomy:

We found two systematic reviews of RCTs (search date 2003, 2 RCTs; ^[11] and search date 2001, 6 RCTs), ^[12] both of which reported information on only complications of surgery. We also found one systematic review of observational data reporting on bleeding rates associated with surgery (see comment). ^[13]

Surgery: bleeding

Cold-steel tonsillectomy compared with diathermy tonsillectomy Cold-steel tonsillectomy may be associated with a higher rate of intraoperative blood loss, but we don't know which technique is associated with a lower risk of secondary bleeding (*low-quality evidence*).

Ref (type)	Population	Outcome, Interventions	Results and statistical analysis	Effect size	Favours
Intraoperative bleeding					
^[11] Systematic review	250 people having tonsillectomy or adenotonsillectomy by dissection or diathermy techniques 2 RCTs in this analysis	intraoperative bleeding with cold steel tonsillectomy with diathermy tonsillectomy Absolute results not reported	WMD 22 mL 95% CI 16 mL to 27 mL		diathermy tonsillectomy
^[12] Systematic review	444 people 6 RCTs in this analysis 4 RCTs were of paired design; 293 people	Mean intraoperative blood loss 33.7 mL with cold steel tonsillectomy 15.1 mL with diathermy tonsillectomy See further information on studies for details of difference in operating time	Significance not assessed		

Ref (type)	Population	Outcome, Interventions	Results and statistical analysis	Effect size	Favours
Secondary bleeding					
[11] Systematic review	250 people having tonsillectomy or adenotonsillectomy by dissection or diathermy techniques 2 RCTs in this analysis	secondary bleeding with cold steel tonsillectomy with diathermy tonsillectomy Absolute results not reported	OR 0.56 95% CI 0.19 to 1.63 RCTs may have been underpowered to detect a clinically significant difference in this outcome	↔	Not significant

Surgery: postoperative pain

Cold-steel tonsillectomy compared with diathermy tonsillectomy Cold-steel tonsillectomy reduces postoperative pain and need for analgesia compared with diathermy tonsillectomy ([high-quality evidence](#)).

Ref (type)	Population	Outcome, Interventions	Results and statistical analysis	Effect size	Favours
Postoperative pain					
[12] Systematic review	293 people 4 RCTs in this analysis RCTs in meta-analysis were of paired design	Postoperative pain 33/293 (11%) with cold steel tonsillectomy 148/293 (51%) with diathermy tonsillectomy See further information on studies for details of difference in operating time	P = 0.001	○○○	cold steel tonsillectomy
Need for analgesia					
[11] Systematic review	250 people having tonsillectomy or adenotonsillectomy by dissection or diathermy techniques 2 RCTs in this analysis	Analgesia dose required after surgery , first 12 days with cold steel tonsillectomy with diathermy tonsillectomy Absolute results not reported	WMD 7.50 doses 95% CI 1.05 doses to 13.95 doses	○○○	cold steel tonsillectomy

Episodes of tonsillitis or sore throat

No data from the following reference on this outcome. [\[11\]](#) [\[12\]](#)

Time off school or work

No data from the following reference on this outcome. [\[11\]](#) [\[12\]](#)

Further information on studies

[\[12\]](#) The review found that diathermy tonsillectomy reduced operative time (mean time per tonsil: 2.5 minutes with diathermy v 6.0 minutes with cold steel; significance not assessed).

Comment: One systematic review (50 studies consisting of 10 RCTs, 15 non-randomised comparative studies, 14 case series, and 11 within-patient studies, as well as the population-based registry reports, from the Wales Single-use Instrument Surveillance Programme [3690 people] and the England and Northern Ireland National Prospective Tonsillectomy Audit [33,921 people]) evaluated the evidence for electro-surgery (diathermy or coblation) for tonsillectomy in children and adults, particularly in respect of rates of haemorrhage. ^[13] The review found that electro-surgery (coblation or diathermy dissection and haemostasis) was associated with higher rates of secondary bleeding (including haemorrhage requiring return to theatre) compared with cold-steel dissection with haemostasis with ties or packs. Cold-steel dissection was associated with higher rates of primary bleeding including return to theatre. Cold-steel dissection using ties or packs for haemostasis was associated with the lowest overall rate of postoperative bleeding (1.7%–2.0%); cold-steel dissection with bipolar diathermy haemostasis was associated with the lowest rate of haemorrhage requiring return to theatre (0%–0.7%).

Clinical guide:

Adequate training in the appropriate use of diathermy during tonsillectomy is important. In deciding which method to apply, the surgeon should consider the underlying characteristics of patients, as well as the relative importance of secondary compared with primary bleeding, and intraoperative blood loss compared with postoperative pain. Overall, cold-steel dissection tonsillectomy seems to have the lowest rates of postoperative haemorrhage and pain, although it is associated with slightly increased intraoperative bleeding. The use of diathermy in tonsillectomy must be weighted against its potential harms.

OPTION TONSILLECTOMY VERSUS NO SURGERY IN CHILDREN

- For GRADE evaluation of interventions for Tonsillitis, see table, p 12 .
- In children, the effectiveness of tonsillectomy has to be judged against the potential harms.
- Tonsillectomy is more beneficial in children with severe symptoms, while in populations with a low incidence of tonsillitis, the modest benefit may be outweighed by the morbidity associated with the surgery.
- Tonsillectomy is associated with intraoperative and postoperative morbidity, including haemorrhage, while antibiotics are associated with adverse effects, such as rash.

Benefits and harms

Tonsillectomy versus no surgery in children:

We found three systematic reviews (search dates 1998, 2003, and 2008, respectively), ^{[14] [15] [16]} which identified seven RCTs in total.

Episodes of tonsillitis or sore throat

Tonsillectomy compared with non-surgical treatment Tonsillectomy may reduce the frequency of tonsillitis episodes in children (*very low-quality evidence*).

Ref (type)	Population	Outcome, Interventions	Results and statistical analysis	Effect size	Favours
Sore throat					
^[14] Systematic review	1618 children with tonsillitis 5 RCTs in this analysis	Severe sore throat , 2 years with tonsillectomy with no surgery Absolute results not reported Review found that tonsillectomy resulted in 2.3 fewer episodes of severe sore throat in the first 2 years	Significance not assessed Potential bias in included RCTs; see further information on studies for full details		
^[16] Systematic review	1436 children with tonsillitis 6 RCTs in this analysis 4 RCTs identified by review ^[14]	Reduction in episodes of sore throat with tonsillectomy with no surgery	Reduction of 1.2 episodes per year 95% CI 1.1 episodes per year to 1.3 episodes per year 2438 person-years analysed	○○○	tonsillectomy

Ref (type)	Population	Outcome, Interventions	Results and statistical analysis	Effect size	Favours
	The review also identified 7 controlled non-randomised studies	Absolute results not reported	Possible underestimation of beneficial effect of tonsillectomy; see further information on studies for full details All RCTs in meta-analysis had weak methods; see further information on studies for full details		
[15] Systematic review	564 children with moderate to severe tonsillitis followed up for at least 1 year 4 RCTs in this analysis 2 RCTs identified by review [14] Variation in severity of tonsillitis in children in included RCTs; see further information on studies for full details	Episodes of sore throat of any severity , 1 to 3 years with tonsillectomy or adenotonsillectomy with no surgery Absolute results not reported	mean difference -1.39 episodes 95% CI -1.69 episodes to -1.08 episodes		tonsillectomy or adenotonsillectomy
[15] Systematic review	564 children with moderate to severe tonsillitis followed up for at least 1 year 4 RCTs in this analysis 2 RCTs identified by review [14] Variation in severity of tonsillitis in children in included RCTs; see further information on studies for full details	Episodes of moderate or severe sore throat , 1 to 3 years with tonsillectomy or adenotonsillectomy with no surgery Absolute results not reported	mean difference -0.23 episodes 95% CI -0.35 episodes to -0.12 episodes The review concluded that one unpredictably timed episode of moderate or severe sore throat could be avoided in the first year post-surgery; see further information on studies for full details		tonsillectomy or adenotonsillectomy

Time off school or work

Tonsillectomy compared with non-surgical treatment Tonsillectomy may reduce time off school because of tonsillitis (very low-quality evidence).

Ref (type)	Population	Outcome, Interventions	Results and statistical analysis	Effect size	Favours
Number of days off school					
[16] Systematic review	1436 children with tonsillitis 4 RCTs identified by review [14] The review also identified 7 controlled non-randomised studies	Number of days off school with tonsillectomy with no surgery Absolute numbers not reported	-2.8 days per person-year 95% CI -3.9 days per person-year to -1.6 days per person-year 1669 person-years analysed Possible underestimation of beneficial effect of tonsillectomy; see further information on studies for full details All RCTs in meta-analysis had weak methods; see further information on studies for full details		tonsillectomy

No data from the following reference on this outcome. [14] [15]

Adverse effects

Ref (type)	Population	Outcome, Interventions	Results and statistical analysis	Effect size	Favours
Adverse effects					
[4] RCT	91 children In review [15]	Complication rate with tonsillectomy with no surgery The RCT found that tonsillectomy was associated with a complication rate of 14%; all complications were "readily managed or self-limiting"			
[6] RCT	Number of children unclear In review [15] 2 RCTs reported in one publication	Complication rate with tonsillectomy with no surgery The RCT reported that 16/203 (8%) children who had surgery suffered complications; results combined from both RCTs See further information on studies for full details on types of complication reported			
[17] RCT	Number of children unclear In review [15]	Complication rates with adenotonsillectomy with no surgery The RCT reported that 12/195 (6%) children had a complication following adenotonsillectomy Primary haemorrhage occurred in 7/195 (4%) children, 2 of whom had their bleeding managed surgically Postoperative nausea occurred in 5/195 (3%) children			

No data from the following reference on this outcome. [14] [16]

Further information on studies

[14] The authors of the review noted high potential for bias in the RCTs they identified. Inclusion criteria in some of the older trials were not strictly defined, and encompassed upper respiratory tract infections, cervical adenitis, or "children who would normally be placed in waiting list for adenotonsillectomy".

[15] **Variation in severity of tonsillitis** Three of the RCTs in the review (346 children) examined children with severe recurrent tonsillitis. One RCT looked at children with milder symptoms; 52% of those had tonsillectomy for indications other than recurrent throat infection. Children in both groups of the identified RCTs received antibiotics as needed for throat infections. **Review conclusions** The review concluded that adenotonsillectomy or tonsillectomy would avoid one unpredictably timed episode of moderate or severe sore throat in more severely affected children in the first year post-surgery, at a cost of a predictable episode of pain in the immediate postoperative period.

[16] The benign natural course of recurrent tonsillitis in children was demonstrated as children in the control group having had fewer episodes of sore throats during the follow-up period than before study entry (no absolute figures

or significance assessment reported). However, in all of the included studies, the most severely affected children either opted for surgery or (in the earlier studies) were allocated to surgery, which may have resulted in an underestimation of treatment effect. In addition, the included studies assessed adenotonsillectomy, rather than tonsillectomy alone, and all RCTs and non-randomised trials had significant methodological limitations. Taking this into account, the authors of the review concluded that surgery provided an additional but small reduction in sore throat episodes and sore throat-associated school absence compared with no surgery.

^[6] One child suffered anaesthetic induction trismus and possible incipient malignant hyperthermia; three children had intraoperative haemorrhage, with one of them needing reintervention under anaesthesia; and one child required a posterior nasopharyngeal pack and admission to intensive care. Seven children (3%) developed postoperative haemorrhage, and five of these were re-admitted to hospital, one requiring transfusion. The mean duration of postoperative sore throat was 6.3 days (range 0–21 days).

Comment:

Antibiotics:

One RCT (716 people with sore throat and an abnormal physical sign) found that the prescribing of antibiotics compared with no initial prescription significantly increased the proportion of people who returned to see their physician in the short term because of sore throat (return rate: 38% with initial antibiotics v 27% without initial antibiotics; adjusted HR for return 1.39, 95% CI 1.03 to 1.89).^[18]

Clinical guide:

Tonsillectomy is one of the most frequently performed surgical procedures in the UK, particularly in children, and accounts for about 20% of all operations performed by otolaryngologists.^[17] Adenoidectomy is now performed with tonsillectomy in the UK only when there is a specific indication to remove the adenoids as well as the tonsils (32% of cases), although it remains common practice in both Europe and North America to combine the operations (the Netherlands: 90% of cases; USA: 84% of cases; and Canada: 75% of cases).

Decades of experience of using tonsillectomy for recurrent or severe throat infections in children have led to consensus that it is effective. However, it is suggested that the effectiveness of tonsillectomy has to be weighed against its potential harms. One Scottish tonsillectomy audit found that tonsillectomy was associated with a overall complication rate of 2% to 8%; less than 1% of children had primary haemorrhage (in the immediate postoperative period) or secondary haemorrhage.^[19] Tonsillectomy results in a greater benefit in children with severe symptoms; for those with less severe symptoms, benefits must be judged (a reduction of approximately one episode, and 4 days' duration of sore throat in the first year) in light of the morbidity of surgery (one predictable episode of sore throat that can typically last 6 days).

We found no RCTs that found improved general wellbeing, development, or behaviour, despite suggestions that these are influenced by tonsillectomy.^[19] We found no RCTs addressing long-term effects of tonsillectomy.

OPTION

TONSILLECTOMY VERSUS NO SURGERY IN ADULTS

- For GRADE evaluation of interventions for Tonsillitis, see table, p 12 .
- We don't know whether tonsillectomy is beneficial in adults with recurrent acute tonsillitis, as no studies were found.
- Tonsillectomy is associated with intraoperative and postoperative morbidity, including haemorrhage.

Benefits and harms

Tonsillectomy versus no surgery in adults:

We found one systematic review (search date 2008),^[15] which identified one RCT in adults.^[20]

Episodes of tonsillitis or sore throat

Tonsillectomy compared with no surgery Tonsillectomy may be more effective at reducing the frequency and duration of sore throat at 5 to 6 months, but we don't know the long-term effects (low-quality evidence).

Ref (type)	Population	Outcome, Interventions	Results and statistical analysis	Effect size	Favours
Sore throat					
[20] RCT	70 adults with 3 or more episodes of pharyngitis in 6 months, or 4 episodes in 12 months, with at least 1 episode microbiologically confirmed to be caused by group A <i>Streptococcus</i> In review [15]	Episodes of sore throat , 5 to 6 months 0.6 with tonsillectomy 2.1 with waiting list control Episodes of sore throat was a secondary outcome; primary outcome was group A streptococcal pharyngitis	P = 0.001 Follow-up was only 5 to 6 months, which is not long enough to assess the effects of tonsillectomy fully		tonsillectomy
[20] RCT	70 adults with 3 or more episodes of pharyngitis in 6 months, or 4 episodes in 12 months, with at least 1 episode microbiologically confirmed to be caused by group A <i>Streptococcus</i> In review [15]	Days with sore throat , 5 to 6 months 3.2 with tonsillectomy 12.1 with waiting list control Days with sore throat was a secondary outcome; primary outcome was group A streptococcal pharyngitis	P = 0.002 Follow-up was only 5 to 6 months, which is not long enough to assess the effects of tonsillectomy fully		tonsillectomy

Adverse effects

Ref (type)	Population	Outcome, Interventions	Results and statistical analysis	Effect size	Favours
Adverse effects					
[20] RCT	70 adults with 3 or more episodes of pharyngitis in 6 months, or 4 episodes in 12 months, with at least 1 episode microbiologically confirmed to be caused by group A <i>Streptococcus</i> In review [15]	Adverse effects with tonsillectomy with waiting list control Absolute results not reported The RCT reported no serious adverse effects related to tonsillectomy Two people (6%) had mild secondary bleeding after the operation Tonsillectomy, on average, caused 13 days of postoperative throat pain			

Further information on studies**Comment:****Clinical guide:**

Data from one good-quality RCT (albeit with limited follow-up) confirm consensus that tonsillectomy is an effective treatment for adults with severe recurrent sore throat, and that it should be offered to patients unless there are contraindications, despite the absence of strong evidence from RCTs.

GLOSSARY

High-quality evidence Further research is very unlikely to change our confidence in the estimate of effect.

Low-quality evidence Further research is very likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and is likely to change the estimate.

Very low-quality evidence Any estimate of effect is very uncertain.

SUBSTANTIVE CHANGES

Tonsillectomy versus no surgery in children One systematic review updated (4 RCTs; 564 children), which found that tonsillectomy reduced the number of episodes of sore throat, and duration of sore throat, compared with standard care at 1 to 3 years.^[15] Categorisation unchanged (Trade-off between benefits and harms).

Tonsillectomy versus no surgery in adults One systematic review added^[15] identifying one RCT that met *Clinical Evidence* inclusion criteria.^[20] The RCT found that tonsillectomy reduced the frequency and duration of sore throat at 5 to 6 months, but had short follow-up. Categorisation unchanged (Trade-off between benefits and harms).

REFERENCES

1. Management of sore throat and indications for tonsillectomy. National Clinical Guideline No 34. Edinburgh, UK: Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network, 1999.
2. Shvartzman P. Careful prescribing is beneficial. *BMJ* 1994;309:1011–1012.[\[PubMed\]](#)
3. Papesch M, Watkins R. Epstein–Barr virus infectious mononucleosis. *Clin Otolaryngol Allied Sci* 2001;26:3–8.[\[PubMed\]](#)
4. Paradise JL, Bluestone CD, Bachman RZ, et al. Efficacy of tonsillectomy for recurrent throat infection in severely affected children. Results of parallel randomized and nonrandomized clinical trials. *N Engl J Med* 1984;310:674–683.[\[PubMed\]](#)
5. Paradise JL, Bluestone CD, Rogers KD, et al. Comparative efficacy of tonsillectomy for recurrent throat infection in more versus less severely affected children. *Pediatr Res* 1992;31:126A.
6. Paradise JL, Bluestone CD, Colborne DK, et al. Tonsillectomy and adenotonsillectomy for recurrent throat infection in moderately affected children. *Pediatrics* 2002;110:7–15.[\[PubMed\]](#)
7. Mawson SR, Adlington P, Evans M. A controlled study evaluation of adeno-tonsillectomy in children. *J Laryngol Otol* 1968;82:963–979.[\[PubMed\]](#)
8. McKee WJE. A controlled study of the effects of tonsillectomy and adenoidectomy in children. *Br J Prev Soc Med* 1963;17:49–69.
9. Georgalas C, Tolley NS, Kanagalingam J. Measuring quality of life in children with adenotonsillar disease with the Child Health Questionnaire: a first U.K. study. *Laryngoscope* 2004;114:1849–1855.[\[PubMed\]](#)
10. Del Mar CB, Glasziou PP, Spinks AB. Antibiotics for sore throat. In: The Cochrane Library, Issue 1, 2009. Chichester, UK: John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.
11. Pinder D, Hilton M. Dissection versus diathermy for tonsillectomy. In: The Cochrane Library, Issue 1, 2009. Chichester, UK: John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.
12. Leinbach RF, Markwell SJ, Colliver JA, et al. Hot versus cold tonsillectomy: a systematic review of the literature. *Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg* 2003;129:360–364.[\[PubMed\]](#)
13. Mowatt G, Burr J, Cook JA, et al. Systematic review of the safety and efficacy of electrosurgery for tonsillectomy. June 2005. NICE Review Body for Interventional Procedures. Available online at: http://www.nice.org.uk/ip_324review (last accessed 25 October 2009).
14. Marshall T. A review of tonsillectomy for recurrent throat infection. *Br J Gen Pract* 1998;48:1331–1335.[\[PubMed\]](#)
15. Burton MJ, Glasziou P. Tonsillectomy or adeno-tonsillectomy versus non-surgical treatment for chronic/recurrent acute tonsillitis. In: The Cochrane Library, Issue 1, 2009. Chichester, UK: John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.[\[PubMed\]](#)
16. van Staaij BK, van den Akker EH, van der Heijden GJ, et al. Adenotonsillectomy for upper respiratory infections: evidence based? *Arch Dis Child* 2005;90:19–25.[\[PubMed\]](#)
17. van Staaij BK, van den Akker EH, Rovers MM, et al. Effectiveness of adenotonsillectomy in children with mild symptoms of throat infections or adenotonsillar hypertrophy: open, randomised controlled trial. *BMJ* 2004;329:651–654.[\[PubMed\]](#)
18. Little P, Gould C, Williamson I, et al. Reattendance and complications in a randomised trial of prescribing strategies for sore throat: the medicalising effect of prescribing antibiotics. *BMJ* 1997;315:350–352.[\[PubMed\]](#)
19. Blair RL, McKerrow WS, Carter NW, et al. The Scottish tonsillectomy audit. Audit Sub-Committee of the Scottish Otolaryngological Society. *J Laryngol Otol* 1996;110 (Suppl 20):1–25.[\[PubMed\]](#)
20. Alho OP, Koivunen P, Penna T, et al. Tonsillectomy versus watchful waiting in recurrent streptococcal pharyngitis in adults: randomised controlled trial. *BMJ* 1997;334:939.[\[PubMed\]](#)

Christos C Georgalas
Department of Otolaryngology
St Mary's Hospital
London
UK

Neil S Tolley
ENT Consultant
Department of ENT
St Mary's Hospital
London
UK

Anthony Narula
ENT Consultant
Department of ENT
St Mary's Hospital
London
UK

Competing interests: CG, NT, and AN declare that they have no competing interests. We would like to acknowledge the previous contributor for this review, Martin Burton.

Disclaimer

The information contained in this publication is intended for medical professionals. Categories presented in Clinical Evidence indicate a judgement about the strength of the evidence available to our contributors prior to publication and the relevant importance of benefit and harms. We rely on our contributors to confirm the accuracy of the information presented and to adhere to describe accepted practices. Readers should be aware that professionals in the field may have different opinions. Because of this and regular advances in medical research we strongly recommend that readers' independently verify specified treatments and drugs including manufacturers' guidance. Also, the categories do not indicate whether a particular treatment is generally appropriate or whether it is suitable for a particular individual. Ultimately it is the readers' responsibility to make their own professional judgements, so to appropriately advise and treat their patients. To the fullest extent permitted by law, BMJ Publishing Group Limited and its editors are not responsible for any losses, injury or damage caused to any person or property (including under contract, by negligence, products liability or otherwise) whether they be direct or indirect, special, incidental or consequential, resulting from the application of the information in this publication.

GRADE Evaluation of interventions for Tonsillitis.

Important outcomes	Episodes of tonsillitis or sore throat, Surgery: bleeding, Surgery: postoperative pain, Time off school or work								
Studies (Participants)	Outcome	Comparison	Type of evidence	Quality	Consistency	Directness	Effect size	GRADE	Comment
<i>What are the effects of tonsillectomy in children and adults with acute recurrent or chronic throat infections?</i>									
at least 6 (at least 444) ^[11] ^[12]	Surgery: bleeding	Cold-steel tonsillectomy versus diathermy tonsillectomy	4	-2	0	0	0	Low	Quality points deducted for incomplete reporting of results and for RCTs possibly being underpowered to detect a clinically significant difference in one outcome
8 (694) ^[11] ^[12]	Surgery: postoperative pain	Cold-steel tonsillectomy versus diathermy tonsillectomy	4	0	0	0	0	High	
6 (at least 1618 children) ^[14] ^[15] ^[16]	Episodes of tonsillitis or sore throat	Tonsillectomy versus no surgery in children	4	-2	0	-1	0	Very low	Quality points deducted for randomisation flaws and baseline differences between groups. Directness point deducted for inclusion of adenotonsillectomy as main intervention
13 (1436) ^[16]	Time off school or work	Tonsillectomy versus no surgery in children	4	-3	0	-1	0	Very low	
1 (70) ^[15] ^[20]	Episodes of tonsillitis or sore throat	Tonsillectomy versus no surgery in adults	4	-2	0	0	0	Low	Quality points deducted for sparse data and short follow-up
<p>We initially allocate 4 points to evidence from RCTs, and 2 points to evidence from observational studies. To attain the final GRADE score for a given comparison, points are deducted or added from this initial score based on preset criteria relating to the categories of quality, directness, consistency, and effect size. Quality: based on issues affecting methodological rigour (e.g., incomplete reporting of results, quasi-randomisation, sparse data [<200 people in the analysis]). Consistency: based on similarity of results across studies. Directness: based on generalisability of population or outcomes. Effect size: based on magnitude of effect as measured by statistics such as relative risk, odds ratio, or hazard ratio.</p>									